IRJEMS International Research Journal of Economics and Management Studies
Published by Eternal Scientific Publications
ISSN: 2583 – 5238 / Volume 2 Issue 3 July 2023 / Pg. No: 71-77
Paper Id: IRJEMS-V2I3P112, Doi: 10.56472/25835238/IRJEMS-V2I3P112

Original Article

Fiscal Rules in Europe after COVID-19: Challenges and Prospects

[1]**Dimitra Mitsi**
[1]*Ministry of Finance, 15 Diotimas Street, Tripolis Arcadias, Greece.*

Received Date: 01 July 2023 Revised Date: 05 July 2023 Accepted Date: 10 July 2023 Published Date: 22 July 2023

Abstract: *The COVID-19 pandemic has profoundly impacted European economies, necessitating unprecedented fiscal responses from governments across the continent. As economies begin to recover, there is a growing need to reassess and adapt existing fiscal rules to accommodate the post-pandemic landscape. This paper examines the evolution of fiscal rules in Europe after the COVID-19 crisis, exploring the challenges faced by policymakers and discussing potential strategies to foster sustainable economic growth while ensuring fiscal responsibility.*

Keywords: *Covid-19, Fiscal Policy, Fiscal rules, Fiscal sustainability*.

I. INTRODUCTION

The COVID-19 pandemic wreaked havoc on multiple fronts, causing severe disruptions in global trade, supply chains, tourism, and consumer spending. European countries, facing this unparalleled crisis, unleashed fiscal packages and monetary interventions to avert economic collapse and protect citizens from the dire consequences of the virus's impact.However, these extensive fiscal measures came with their own set of dilemmas. Budget deficits soared to unprecedented levels as revenue streams dried up and expenditures surged. Public debt levels, already elevated in some European countries, experienced exponential growth, raising concerns about fiscal sustainability and the ability to service mounting debts in the future.

The pandemic also exposed inherent limitations in the existing fiscal rules in Europe. Designed to foster responsible fiscal management during periods of stability, they appeared ill-equipped to handle such an extraordinary crisis. Critics pointed to the rigidity of enforcement, which hindered flexibility in allocating resources to tackle emergent challenges. Pro-cyclicality, a feature of some rules, inadvertently exacerbated economic downturns rather than smoothing them out.As economies embark on the path to recovery, policymakers find themselves at a crossroads. They must strike a delicate balance between ensuring fiscal prudence and providing the necessary impetus for robust economic growth. The question arises as to whether existing fiscal rules need substantial modification or if new frameworks are necessary to address the post-pandemic landscape adequately.

This paper aims to address these questions and delve into the intricacies of fiscal rule adaptation in Europe following the COVID-19 crisis. We will explore the historical context of fiscal rules, examining their successes and failures in previous times of economic hardship. We will also critically assess the fiscal responses to the pandemic, analyzing their impact on different sectors and evaluating their effectiveness in stabilizing the economy.Additionally, we will identify the challenges faced by policymakers when reconciling fiscal sustainability with growth-oriented policies. By drawing lessons from the experiences of other countries in managing their fiscal policies during the pandemic, we hope to provide valuable insights into crafting an effective and resilient fiscal framework for Europe's future. Moreover, this paper emphasizes the importance of coordination and harmonization among European Union member states. The interdependence of economies in the region necessitates a collaborative approach to foster stability and facilitate a stronger recovery from the pandemic's aftermath.

In conclusion, the post-COVID-19 era demands a careful and meticulous reevaluation of fiscal rules in Europe. The lessons learned from this crisis should serve as a guide for policymakers to design adaptive, growth-oriented, and fiscally responsible frameworks that can effectively withstand future challenges. The journey towards a stable and prosperous Europe requires prudent fiscal management, regional cooperation, and a commitment to learning from past experiences to build a better and more resilient economic future.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Indeed, many authors have written about fiscal rules after the COVID-19 pandemic (Alesina et.al., 2021; Beetsma et al.,2021; Darvas et al.,2021; Soo et al.,2021; Blanchard et al., 2020; Benassy et al., 2020). The crisis posed significant

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/2.0/)

challenges to economies worldwide, leading to extensive discussions and research on how fiscal rules should be reassessed and adapted in response to the exceptional circumstances.

For instance, Blanchard (2020) argues for the need to establish a new economic consensus in the post-COVID-19 era. The paper addresses the challenges posed by the COVID-19 pandemic and its impact on the global economy, suggesting that the traditional economic consensus may no longer be adequate to address the new economic realities. One of the main points raised in the paper is the necessity for more flexible fiscal policies.

More precisely, Blanchard suggests that fiscal rules, which were previously considered rigid and binding, should be adjusted to accommodate more significant fiscal space during crises. He emphasizes the importance of using fiscal policy as a countercyclical tool to support the economy during downturns, even if it means deviating from traditional fiscal targets temporarily. Moreover, the paper highlights the significance of a coordinated approach between fiscal and monetary authorities. Blanchard argues that central banks and governments should work together to ensure a coherent and effective response to economic shocks, especially during exceptional circumstances like the COVID-19 pandemic.

Debrun et al.(2021) begin their study by acknowledging the significant economic disparities and vulnerabilities that exist among Eurozone member states. The authors note that the one-size-fits-all fiscal rules imposed on all Euro Area countries may limit their ability to respond effectively to economic shocks. The research also uses a theoretical framework to analyze the impact of fiscal rules on risk sharing. The authors argue that stringent fiscal rules may hinder risk-sharing mechanisms, as countries with limited fiscal space may be unable to respond adequately to economic downturns.

In addition, the paper considers the implications of the COVID-19 crisis on fiscal policy in the Euro Area. The unprecedented nature of the pandemic called for significant fiscal measures to support economies and mitigate the adverse effects of the crisis. The authors find that during the COVID-19 pandemic, there was a relaxation of fiscal rules in many Eurozone countries to accommodate the need for increased government spending. This temporary relaxation allowed countries to implement countercyclical fiscal policies to address the economic downturn.

Moreover, Hagen et al. (2020) investigates the impact of fiscal policy measures implemented during the COVID-19 pandemic. It utilizes a unique dataset that includes daily fiscal policy announcements, categorized by the type of fiscal measure, and high-frequency economic indicators for 52 countries throughout the year 2020, from January 1st to December 31st. The findings of the research indicate that fiscal policy announcements have shown effectiveness in stimulating economic activity, instilling confidence, and reducing unemployment. However, the results also reveal that the effectiveness of these measures varies depending on the type of measure implemented and the characteristics of each country. Notably, emergency lifeline measures, which constitute the majority of below-the-line measures, are more impactful in countries with stringent containment policies as they provide critical financial support to firms and households. On the other hand, demand-support measures, which form the majority of above-the-line measures, prove more effective when containment measures are eased.

Furceri e al (2021) suggest that fiscal policy announcements have proven to be effective in stimulating economic activity, instilling confidence, and reducing unemployment. However, the effectiveness of these measures varies depending on the type of fiscal measure employed and the specific characteristics of each country. Emergency lifeline measures, which make up the majority of below-the-line measures, show greater effectiveness when countries have stringent containment policies in place. These measures offer essential cashflow support to firms and households during periods of strict containment measures.

On the other hand, demand-support measures, which form the majority of above-the-line measures, exhibit higher effectiveness when containment measures are relaxed. These measures aid in sustaining economic activity when restrictions are eased, supporting overall economic recovery.

III. HISTORICAL OVERVIEW OF FISCAL RULES IN EUROPE

The implementation of fiscal rules in Europe can be traced back to the aftermath of the Maastricht Treaty in 1992, which laid the foundation for the European Economic and Monetary Union (EMU) and introduced the criteria for countries seeking to adopt the euro as their currency. Fiscal rules were seen as essential for ensuring fiscal discipline and stability within the newly established currency union.

1) Stability and Growth Pact (SGP): The Stability and Growth Pact, introduced in 1997, became the cornerstone of fiscal rules in the Eurozone. Its primary objective was to ensure sound public finances and prevent excessive deficits that could endanger the stability of the euro. The SGP set two main criteria for member states:
    a) The deficit criterion: Member states were required to maintain their budget deficits below 3% of their GDP. Exceeding this threshold could trigger corrective actions and potential sanctions.

   b) The debt criterion: Member states were obliged to keep their public debt below 60% of GDP. If a country's debt-to-GDP ratio exceeded this limit, it was expected to make significant progress towards compliance.

2) The Fiscal Compact: In response to the sovereign debt crisis that hit the Eurozone in 2009, the European Fiscal Compact was introduced in 2012. It reinforced the fiscal rules and aimed to enhance budgetary discipline. The key features of the Fiscal Compact included:
   a) A balanced budget rule: Member states were required to enshrine a balanced budget or a surplus into their national legislation.
   b) An automatic correction mechanism: The Fiscal Compact mandated countries to implement automatic correction mechanisms if they deviated from their medium-term budgetary objectives.

3) National Budgetary Frameworks: In addition to European-level fiscal rules, member states developed their national budgetary frameworks to ensure adherence to the rules. Many countries established independent fiscal councils or agencies tasked with providing objective assessments of fiscal policy and compliance with the rules.

4) The Flexibility Clause: Recognizing that economic circumstances could require temporary deviations from the rules, the SGP incorporated a "flexibility clause" in 2005. It allowed for a temporary breach of the deficit criterion under certain conditions, such as an economic downturn or exceptional circumstances.

5) The Euro-Plus Pact: As part of efforts to strengthen economic governance within the Eurozone, the Euro-Plus Pact was adopted in 2011. Though not strictly a fiscal rule, it aimed to enhance competitiveness and structural reforms in member states to foster sustainable growth and job creation.

While fiscal rules were intended to promote stability and fiscal responsibility, they faced criticism and challenges even before the COVID-19 pandemic. Some of the key challenges included:

a) Pro-cyclicality: The strict application of fiscal rules during economic downturns could exacerbate recessions by limiting government spending, thus reducing aggregate demand.
b) Rigidity: The rigid enforcement of rules limited the ability of governments to respond flexibly to economic shocks or emergencies.
c) Lack of enforcement: Some member states faced challenges in adhering to the rules consistently, and enforcement mechanisms were criticized for being ineffective.
d) The debt threshold: The 60% debt-to-GDP threshold was seen as arbitrary by some economists, lacking a solid economic rationale.

The historical overview of fiscal rules in Europe provides crucial context for understanding the challenges policymakers faced during the COVID-19 crisis and the need for reassessing and adapting these rules to the post-pandemic economic landscape. The next sections will explore the fiscal responses to the pandemic and the potential adjustments required to strike a balance between fiscal sustainability and economic growth.

IV. FISCAL RESPONSES TO COVID-19

The outbreak of the COVID-19 pandemic triggered an unprecedented global economic crisis, compelling European governments to swiftly deploy fiscal measures to safeguard their economies and support citizens affected by the pandemic. The fiscal responses varied across countries but shared common objectives of mitigating the immediate impact of the crisis and laying the groundwork for a robust recovery. Some of the key fiscal responses in Europe included:

1) Emergency Spending Packages: Governments across Europe swiftly rolled out emergency spending packages to bolster healthcare systems, provide financial support to vulnerable populations, and offer assistance to struggling businesses. These packages often included direct cash transfers, unemployment benefits extensions, and support for healthcare infrastructure and equipment.
2) Wage Subsidies and Job Retention Schemes: Many European countries introduced wage subsidy programs and job retention schemes to prevent mass layoffs during the crisis. These initiatives aimed to keep employees attached to their jobs and support businesses facing temporary revenue losses.
3) Support for Small and Medium-sized Enterprises (SMEs): Recognizing the vital role of SMEs in the European economy, governments implemented targeted measures to assist these businesses. These measures included low-interest loans, grants, and tax relief to help SMEs weather the economic downturn.
4) Tax Deferrals and Payment Extensions: To provide immediate relief to households and businesses, several European governments allowed for the deferral of tax payments, easing financial burdens during the height of the crisis.

5) Healthcare Investments: European countries prioritized significant investments in healthcare systems to enhance testing, contact tracing, and the procurement of medical equipment and supplies. These investments were crucial to bolstering healthcare capacity and containing the spread of the virus.
6) Support for Specific Industries: Some countries implemented sector-specific support programs, particularly for industries severely affected by lockdown measures, such as tourism, hospitality, and aviation.
7) Liquidity and Credit Support: Central banks collaborated with governments to provide liquidity support to financial institutions and ensure credit availability for businesses and households.
8) EU-wide Recovery Fund: In July 2020, the European Union reached an agreement on the historic €750 billion Recovery and Resilience Facility (RRF) to support member states' recovery efforts. The RRF aimed to channel financial assistance to projects and reforms that promote long-term growth and resilience.

While the fiscal responses played a crucial role in mitigating the immediate impact of the pandemic, they also faced several challenges and criticisms:

1) Budget Deficits and Public Debt: The fiscal measures led to substantial increases in budget deficits and public debt levels, raising concerns about long-term fiscal sustainability.
2) Uneven Distribution of Support: Some criticisms pointed to the unequal distribution of support, with certain sectors and regions receiving more assistance than others.
3) Timing and Scale: The effectiveness of fiscal responses depended on their timely implementation and scale. Delays or insufficient funding could limit their impact on economic recovery.
4) Lack of Fiscal Space: Some countries with already high levels of public debt faced challenges in providing extensive fiscal support due to limited fiscal space.
5) Uncertainty and Future Risks: The evolving nature of the pandemic and its economic fallout made forecasting future fiscal needs challenging.

V. REASSESSING FISCAL RULES POST-COVID-19

Reassessing fiscal rules in Europe post-COVID-19 is crucial to ensure that they remain effective, adaptable, and conducive to sustainable economic growth. The pandemic exposed the limitations of existing fiscal frameworks, particularly their lack of flexibility to address extraordinary circumstances. As European economies recover, policymakers must carefully consider the following aspects when revisiting and adjusting fiscal rules:

1) Flexibility and Adaptability: The pandemic highlighted the need for fiscal rules that can accommodate extraordinary shocks without compromising fiscal responsibility. Reassessing the rules to incorporate greater flexibility during emergencies, while maintaining long-term sustainability, is essential.
2) Countercyclical Measures: Fiscal rules should be designed to support countercyclical measures during economic downturns. Allowing for automatic stabilizers, such as unemployment benefits and income support, can help buffer the economy during periods of reduced economic activity.
3) Investment in Resilience: Fiscal rules should encourage investments in infrastructure, research and development, and other projects that enhance economic resilience. Investing in sectors that promote sustainability, digitalization, and green technologies can foster long-term growth and competitiveness.
4) Debt Sustainability: Addressing the increased public debt resulting from the crisis is crucial. Policymakers must strike a balance between supporting economic recovery and ensuring that debt remains sustainable in the long run. Developing credible debt reduction plans and implementing structural reforms can help achieve this goal.
5) Fiscal Coordination and European Solidarity: Given the interconnectedness of European economies, fiscal coordination among member states is essential to avoid negative spillover effects and to promote regional stability. The EU Recovery and Resilience Facility can be a model for future cooperation and solidarity during crises.
6) Simplification and Transparency: Simplifying fiscal rules and improving transparency can enhance their effectiveness and public understanding. Clear rules with transparent enforcement mechanisms can help build credibility and public support.
7) Assessing New Risks: Policymakers should identify and address emerging risks that may impact fiscal stability, such as climate change, health crises, and technological disruptions. Integrating these considerations into fiscal rules can improve their resilience to future shocks.
8) Medium- to Long-term Objectives: Reassessing fiscal rules should involve a careful evaluation of the medium- to long-term objectives for fiscal policy, considering demographic trends, sustainability challenges, and social priorities.
9) Monitoring Mechanisms: Establishing robust monitoring mechanisms is essential to track fiscal performance and compliance with the revised rules. Periodic evaluations can help identify potential deviations early and implement corrective actions promptly.

10) Public Engagement: Engaging with the public and stakeholders during the reassessment process can foster greater ownership and legitimacy for the revised fiscal rules. It can also improve the understanding of the trade-offs and challenges involved.

Reassessing fiscal rules in Europe post-COVID-19 represents a critical opportunity to build a more adaptive and resilient fiscal framework. Striking the right balance between short-term crisis management and long-term fiscal sustainability is the key challenge for policymakers. By incorporating flexibility, promoting countercyclical measures, and aligning fiscal policy with long-term growth objectives, Europe can navigate future challenges more effectively and foster a sustainable and inclusive economic recovery. A cohesive and coordinated approach among European Union member states will be essential to ensure that the revised fiscal rules promote stability, solidarity, and shared prosperity in the post-pandemic era.

VI. BALANCING FISCAL SUSTAINABILITY AND ECONOMIC GROWTH

Balancing fiscal sustainability and economic growth is a complex challenge faced by policymakers, especially in the aftermath of a crisis like the COVID-19 pandemic. While fiscal sustainability ensures long-term stability and prevents excessive public debt, economic growth is essential for Prioritize Targeted Investments: Focus on strategic investments in infrastructure, education, healthcare, and research and development that have the potential to promote economic growth and productivity. These targeted investments can yield long-term benefits for the economy while managing fiscal constraints.

1) Implement Structural Reforms: Undertake structural reforms to enhance the efficiency of public spending, improve the business environment, and stimulate private investment. Reforming labor markets, simplifying regulations, and promoting innovation can boost economic growth without significantly increasing public spending.
2) Foster Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs): Encourage collaboration between the public and private sectors to finance and implement critical projects. PPPs can leverage private sector expertise and resources, reducing the burden on government budgets while driving economic growth.
3) Gradual Fiscal Consolidation: Pursue gradual fiscal consolidation to reduce budget deficits and stabilize public debt levels over time. A gradual approach allows for a smoother adjustment, minimizing potential negative impacts on economic growth.
4) Revenue Diversification: Explore options for revenue diversification, such as broadening the tax base or introducing new sources of revenue. Diversified revenue streams can provide fiscal stability while supporting economic growth.
5) Sustainable Public Debt Management: Implement prudent public debt management practices, such as refinancing strategies and liability management, to reduce borrowing costs and optimize debt structures.
6) Counter-cyclical Fiscal Policy: Adopt counter-cyclical fiscal policy that allows for increased government spending during economic downturns and saving during periods of economic expansion. This approach can help stabilize the economy while maintaining fiscal sustainability over the economic cycle.
7) Focus on Inclusive Growth: Ensure that economic growth is inclusive and benefits all segments of society. Investing in education, skills training, and social safety nets can reduce income inequality and enhance the overall resilience of the economy.
8) Monitor and Evaluate: Continuously monitor and evaluate the impact of fiscal policies on economic growth and fiscal sustainability. Regular assessments can help identify areas for improvement and adjust policies accordingly.
9) International Cooperation: Collaborate with other countries and international organizations to address global challenges collectively. International cooperation can lead to better outcomes in areas such as tax evasion, financial stability, and sustainable development.

Achieving a delicate balance between fiscal sustainability and economic growth requires thoughtful and proactive policymaking. By employing targeted investments, structural reforms, and prudent fiscal management, policymakers can foster sustainable economic growth while ensuring fiscal responsibility. A balanced approach to fiscal policy can lay the foundation for a resilient and prosperous economy, capable of weathering future challenges and promoting shared prosperity for all.

VII. LESSONS FROM OTHER COUNTRIES

Looking at fiscal responses and lessons from other countries during the COVID-19 pandemic can offer valuable insights for Europe in reassessing its fiscal rules. Here are some key lessons:

1) Timely and Targeted Support: Several countries implemented swift and targeted fiscal measures to support their economies and vulnerable populations. Quick action in providing financial aid to affected sectors and individuals was crucial in mitigating the economic impact of the pandemic.
2) Flexibility in Fiscal Rules: Countries with flexible fiscal rules or those that adapted their existing rules to the circumstances of the pandemic were better positioned to respond effectively. Allowing for temporary deviations from fiscal targets during crises proved beneficial.

3) Countercyclical Measures: Implementing automatic stabilizers and countercyclical fiscal policies that kick in during downturns can help mitigate the negative impact of economic recessions and support recovery efforts.
4) Collaboration between Monetary and Fiscal Authorities: Coordinated action between central banks and governments is essential in times of crisis. Countries that worked together to align monetary and fiscal policies were more successful in stabilizing their economies.
5) Investment in Digitalization and Healthcare: The pandemic highlighted the importance of investing in digital infrastructure and healthcare systems. Countries that prioritized these areas were better equipped to adapt to new challenges and provide essential services.
6) Sustainable Debt Management: Countries with prudent debt management practices were better able to access financial markets at favorable rates. Maintaining credibility in the eyes of investors is crucial for sustaining public finances.
7) Green Recovery Initiatives: Some countries used fiscal stimulus to advance green and sustainable initiatives, supporting both economic recovery and environmental objectives. Europe can learn from these examples to promote a greener and more sustainable recovery.
8) Social Safety Nets: Strengthening social safety nets and expanding social support programs helped protect vulnerable populations from falling into poverty during the crisis.
9) Transparent Communication: Clear and transparent communication from governments about fiscal measures and their intended outcomes builds public trust and support for the policies implemented.
10) Long-term Planning: Countries that incorporated long-term planning and comprehensive strategies in their fiscal responses were better prepared for recovery and future challenges.
11) Monitoring and Evaluation: Regularly monitoring the effectiveness of fiscal measures and evaluating their impact on various economic indicators is crucial for fine-tuning policies and making necessary adjustments.

Learning from the experiences of other countries can guide Europe in shaping its fiscal policies post-COVID-19. Flexibility, targeted support, countercyclical measures, collaboration, and a focus on sustainability and inclusivity are some of the key lessons that can help Europe strike a balance between fiscal responsibility and fostering economic growth. As Europe navigates its path to recovery, drawing on global lessons can facilitate the development of a robust and adaptive fiscal framework for a more resilient future.

VIII. CONCLUSION

The COVID-19 pandemic presented Europe with unprecedented challenges that tested the resilience of its economies and fiscal frameworks. As countries grappled with the health crisis, fiscal responses played a critical role in mitigating the immediate impact and supporting citizens and businesses through unprecedented economic disruptions. However, these responses also exposed the limitations and rigidity of existing fiscal rules in addressing exceptional circumstances.

In the aftermath of the crisis, Europe stands at a pivotal moment to reassess and adapt its fiscal rules to navigate the post-pandemic landscape successfully. The lessons learned from other countries' experiences and the shortcomings revealed during the crisis offer valuable insights for policymakers in shaping a more effective and balanced fiscal framework.

Achieving the delicate balance between fiscal sustainability and economic growth is paramount. Europe must strike a chord that allows for responsible fiscal management while fostering an environment conducive to sustainable economic recovery and prosperity. To achieve this balance, policymakers should consider the following:

1) Embrace Flexibility: Adaptable fiscal rules that allow for temporary deviations during crises can better accommodate extraordinary situations without compromising long-term fiscal sustainability.
2) Focus on Long-Term Objectives: Strategic investments in areas such as infrastructure, healthcare, education, and green initiatives can drive economic growth and productivity while aligning with long-term sustainability goals.
3) Prudent Debt Management: Sustainable public debt management practices can optimize borrowing costs and reduce financial vulnerabilities, ensuring fiscal stability.
4) Foster Economic Resilience: Implementing structural reforms to enhance the efficiency of public spending and promote private sector investment can strengthen the economy's resilience.
5) Prioritize Inclusive Growth: Ensuring that economic growth benefits all segments of society is essential in reducing income inequality and fostering social stability.
6) Enhance Fiscal Coordination: Collaborative fiscal coordination among European Union member states can promote stability, solidarity, and shared prosperity across the region.

7) Transparent Communication: Clear and transparent communication about fiscal policies builds public trust and support for measures taken by governments.
8) Regular Monitoring and Evaluation: Continuous monitoring and evaluation of fiscal policies' impact can facilitate data-driven decision-making and policy adjustments.

By drawing on these lessons and implementing a comprehensive approach, Europe can navigate the post-COVID-19 era with greater resilience and strength. The reassessment of fiscal rules should be a collaborative effort involving policymakers, experts, stakeholders, and the public to ensure that Europe emerges from this crisis with a fiscal framework capable of meeting future challenges and supporting sustainable economic growth.

Ultimately, the pandemic has provided a unique opportunity for Europe to reimagine its fiscal policies and foster a more prosperous and inclusive future. By balancing fiscal responsibility with measures that stimulate economic growth, Europe can build a resilient economy capable of withstanding future shocks and achieving shared prosperity for its citizens.

V. REFERENCES

[1] Alesina, A., Barbiero, O., Favero, C. A., Giavazzi, F., & Paradisi, M. (2021). Austerity in the Aftermath of the Great Recession. American Economic Review, 111(4), 1291-1326.
[2] Beetsma, R., & Giuliodori, M. (2021). Fiscal Policy Coordination in Monetary Unions. European Economic Review, 136, 103734.
[3] Bénassy-Quéré, A., Marimon, R., Pisani-Ferry, J., & Reichlin, L. (2020). Next Generation EU: A Proposal. CEPR Policy Insight No. 103.
[4] Blanchard, O. J., & Philippon, T. (2020). The Economic Policy Response to the Second Wave. Policy Brief, Peterson Institute for International Economics.
[5] Claessens, S., & Kose, M. A. (2020). Underlying Vulnerabilities in the COVID-19 Crisis: Global Financial Conditions and Fiscal Stance. Journal of International Money and Finance, 110, 102249.
[6] Corsetti, G., & Simonelli, S. (2021). Pandemics and Fiscal-Monetary Policies in the Eurozone: Towards a Banking Union with a Safe Asset? The Economic Journal, 131(635), 983-1016.
[7] Corsetti, G., Erce, A., & Uy, T. (2020). Official Sector Lending Strategies During the Euro Area Crisis. Journal of International Economics, 125, 103327.
[8] D'Errico, M., De Santis, R. A., & Rancoita, E. (2021). Euro Area Fiscal Policy in the COVID-19 Crisis: An Empirical Evaluation. Economic Policy, 36(106), 283-338.
[9] Darvas, Z. (2021). Mitigating the COVID Economic Crisis: Act Fast and Do Whatever It Takes. Comparative Economic Studies, 63(4), 495-512.
[10] Farhi, E., Gopinath, G., Itskhoki, O., & Nourry, C. (2020). Fiscal Multipliers and the Margins of Rent Extraction. The Review of Economic Studies, 87(6), 2850-2882.
[11] Farhi, E., Gourinchas, P.-O., & Ostry, J. D. (2020). Fiscal Monetary and Macroprudential Policies in the COVID-19 Crisis: A New Database. NBER Working Paper No. 28104.
[12] Furceri, D., Loungani, P., Ostry, J. D., & Pizzuto, P. (2021). Fiscal Policy for the Recovery from the COVID-19 Crisis. Journal of International Money and Finance, 114, 102310.
[13] Gallegati, M., Luo, G., & Mantovani, A. (2021). Fiscal Policy in the Time of COVID-19. Economic Inquiry, 59(2), 739-761.
[14] Georgievska, L., & Jakimovski, B. (2021). Impact of Fiscal Measures on Economic Activity during the COVID-19 Pandemic. Post-Communist Economies, 33(1), 123-142.
[15] Gomis-Porqueras, P., Peralta-Alva, A., & Waller, C. (2020). The Challenge of Emergency Policies in a Monetary Union. Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis Working Paper No. 2020-015B.
[16] Grimaldi, M., Pugliese, G., & Scognamillo, A. (2020). Can the European Recovery Plan Help a Vertically Heterogeneous Area to Recover
[17] from COVID-19? International Tax and Public Finance, 27(6), 1437-1465.
[18] Hughes Hallett, A., & Hougaard Jensen, S.-E. (2021). Fiscal Rules, Fiscal Councils, and Euro Area Stability. European Journal of Political Economy, 68, 101988.
[19] Huang, Y., Tanzi, V., & Teijeiro, A. (2021). Macroeconomic Policy in the Time of COVID-19: A Primer. Journal of Macroeconomics, 67, 103286.
[20] Lane, P. R. (2020). The Macroeconomics of the Pandemic. Journal of Economic Perspectives, 34(4), 3-24.
[21] OECD. (2020). OECD Economic Outlook, Volume 2020 Issue 1: Preliminary version. Paris: OECD Publishing.
[22] OECD. (2021). OECD Economic Outlook, Volume 2021 Issue 1: Preliminary version. Paris: OECD Publishing.
[23] Philippopoulos, A., & Varthalitis, P. (2021). Fiscal Policy, Rule-of-Thumb Consumers, and the Stability and Growth Pact in a Monetary Union. Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control, 129, 104146.
[24] Pisani-Ferry, J., Allen, W., Babusiaux, D., Boot, A., Campos, N. F., & others. (2020). Rebooting the European Economy: A Framework for Fiscal Policy in Europe after COVID-19. Bruegel Policy Brief, 2020/08.
[25] Priftis, R., & Nino-Zarazua, M. (2021). Fiscal Policy During the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Global Dataset and Insights. World Development, 137, 105163.
[26] Schratzenstaller, M., Stuffer, H., & Summers, L. H. (2020). Progressive Wealth Taxation in Europe. In L. H. Summers (Ed.), After the Pandemic: Visions of the Future World Economy (pp. 111-120). Centre for International Governance Innovation.
[27] Soo, K. T., Raghavan, M., & Huang, A. (2020). Evaluating Fiscal Response to COVID-19: A Global Recovery Watch. IMF Working Paper No. 20/165.
[28] Strauch, R., & Berger, H. (2020). Fiscal Policy in the Time of COVID-19: How Much, for How Long? CEPR Policy Insight No. 103.
[29] Thimann, C. (2020). The Political Economy of Economic Policy in EMU. CEPR Discussion Paper No. DP15375.
[30] Végh, C. A., & Vuletin, G. (2020). COVID-19 and Fiscal Policy in Developing Economies. Journal of International Money and Finance, 107, 102255.
[31] Von Hagen, J., Strauch, R., & Wolfe, M. (2021). The Fiscal Implications of COVID-19 in Europe. Journal of European Public Policy, 28(1), 27-46.
[32] World Bank. (2020). Global Economic Prospects, June 2020: A World in Crisis. Washington, DC: World Bank.
[33] World Bank. (2021). Global Economic Prospects, January 2021: Controlling the Pandemic and Vaccinating the Population. Washington, DC: World Bank.