

Original Article

Translating Health Economics Theory: How Randomized Controlled Trials Shape Policy Design

¹Dr. M. Santhoshkumar

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Economics, Thiruvalluvar University, Vellore – 632115, Tamil Nadu, India.

Received Date: 24 August 2026

Revised Date: 14 September 2026

Accepted Date: 20 September 2026

Published Date: 21 September 2026

Abstract: This paper develops a theoretical framework for leveraging Randomized Controlled Trials (RCTs) to inform health economics policy design. Drawing on Michael Kremer's pioneering contributions to the field, the study aims to enhance the effectiveness of health interventions by incorporating insights from RCTs. This framework addresses the economic and behavioral dimensions of health policies, focusing on maximizing public health outcomes and resource efficiency.

Keywords: Health Economics, Randomized Controlled Trials, Policy Design, Michael Kremer, Health Interventions, Economic Efficiency, Behavioral Insights.

I. INTRODUCTION

A) Background and Motivation

Health economics is concerned with the use of health resources and their effects on public health (Rivkin-Fish, 2019; Jones and Graham, 2021). Existing approaches mainly work based on observations, which can introduce biases and thus restrict the ability to infer causality. Randomized Controlled Trials (RCTs) offer a rigorous design that reduces bias and allows for causal inference regarding the effects of health interventions (Kremer & Miguel, 2004). This study proposes a theoretical framework to guide the process of incorporating RCT results into health economics policy design in order to improve the effectiveness and efficiency of a given health intervention.

B) The Role of RCTs in Health Economics

RCTs provide the gold standard evidence to inform health economics by capturing the real-world effectiveness of interventions. One of the main goals of RCTs is to be able to use the results for policy decisions, and that requires understanding concepts such as internal and external validity, treatment effects, cost-effectiveness, etc. And based on these insights, policymakers will be able to implement more effective and cost-effective interventions (Banerjee et al., 2017).

C) Economic Efficiency and Health Interventions

Economic efficiency in health economics relates to achieving maximum health gain from available resources. Kremer demonstrated that the spillover effects of health interventions are significant, not just for health but also for educational and economic productivity (Baird et al., 2016). Recent work extends this agenda into the domain of health, providing new insights about whether a broader range of interventions are cost-effective in poorer settings (Dupas, 2024; Kremer et al., 2024).

D) Behavioural Insights and Health Economics

Behavioral economics gives us an extra understanding of how people decide on health-related issues. Behaviors and health outcomes are governed by concepts such as present bias, loss aversion (the phenomenon whereby individuals will play safe to avoid losses rather than risk going for gains) and social norms (Thaler & Sunstein 2008). Combining this understanding of the psychology of consumers and RCT findings can therefore be used to design interventions targeting both economic and psychological constraints to improve health (Kremer et al., 2019; Duflo et al., 2024).

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

A) RCTs in Health Economics

RCTs have become a cornerstone in health economics, providing rigorous evidence on the efficacy of health interventions. Kremer's studies on deworming and other health programs have set a precedent for using RCTs to assess the broader impacts of health interventions on economic and social outcomes (Kremer & Miguel, 2004). Recent studies have expanded this approach to other health areas, including maternal health and infectious diseases (Banerjee et al., 2017; Kremer et al., 2022).

The problems and future of health care services in India are discussed in this article. Primary Health centre's (PHCs), often known as public health centres, are state-owned medical facilities in India. Health is one of the key concepts. Since the New Economic Policy of 1991, India's economy has been growing rapidly. But one associated with the very first issues has not



followed suit to the degree that financial well-being hardly grasps physical surroundings growth, especially in health. The health sector, for example, has received fewer resources. The Government spends below 1% of GDP on health care. Most of the people living in rural areas are poor and cannot afford the high costs at private hospitals. Their health has been improved because the best possible medical care is being provided to them at primary health centres. The study's primary goals are to assess the significance of health in India, investigate the roles of Primary Health Centre's (PHCs) in rural areas, and comprehend the issues and future possibilities of PHCs in rural areas. The present study is basically a theoretical background. It is based on secondary data. This study covers problems and prospects of PHCs in rural areas. Santhoshkumar (2026).

B) Economic Efficiency and Health Interventions

This is the definition of economic efficiency in health economics: you maximise health outcomes per dollar invested. Kremer's research has demonstrated that health interventions have important spillover effects that can improve health outcomes, as well as educational and economic productivity. Recent research still looks at the cost-effectiveness of health interventions, especially in resource-poor settings (Dupas, 2024; Kremer et al., 2024).

C) Behavioural Insights and Health Economics

The immediate context in which people make certain choices is what the science of behavioral economics can elucidate. In essence, these behaviours/health outcomes are influenced by concepts such as present bias, loss aversion and social norms (Thaler & Sunstein, 2008). The combination of RCTs and behavioral insights can be leveraged in designing more effective health interventions that examine both economic and psychological factors (Kremer et al., 2019; Duflo et al. 2024).

III. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

A) Integration of RCT Findings

The proposed framework integrates RCT findings to inform the design of health interventions. Key components include:

- Internal and External Validity: Ensuring that RCT results are applicable to the broader population and contexts beyond the study setting (Banerjee et al., 2017).
- Treatment Effects and Spillovers: Assessing both direct and indirect impacts of health interventions on target populations and surrounding communities (Miguel & Kremer, 2004).
- Cost-Effectiveness Analysis: Evaluating the economic efficiency of health interventions by comparing costs to health outcomes (Duflo et al., 2015).

B) Framework Components

The theoretical framework consists of several components designed to enhance health policy design:

- RCT Design and Implementation: Guidelines for designing and conducting RCTs to ensure high-quality evidence generation.
- Economic Modelling: Developing economic models that incorporate RCT findings to predict the long-term impacts and cost-effectiveness of health interventions (Kremer et al., 2022).
- Behavioural Adjustments: Integrating behavioural insights to address cognitive and emotional barriers to health behaviour change.

IV. THEORETICAL APPLICATIONS

A) Case Study: Deworming Programs

Deworming programs and the implications of RCTs on policy design: an application of the theoretical framework. While these are significant findings, the true value may be that this case study illustrates not only economic gains from and educational benefits of deworming (Miguel & Kremer, 2004).

B) Case Study: Conditional Cash Transfers

If it is in the form of a conditional cash transfer to affect health outcomes. In this case study, we demonstrate how these findings from RCTs can inform the design of cash transfer schemes that encourage preventive health behavior (Baird et al., 2016).

V. POLICY IMPLICATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

A) Policy Design

Guidance for policymakers on making decisions about health interventions based on the findings of RCTs. Outline how these recommendations could be implemented practically and the possible hurdles (Dupas, 2024).

B) Scalability and Sustainability

Impacts of RCT-based evidence on scaling and sustainability of health interventions. Look at the issues around scaling successful programs and sustaining them (Kremer et al. 2024).

VI. CONCLUSION

A) Summary of Key Findings

The core insights and contributions of this paper. Discuss how this could allow for potentially improved health economics policy design using RCTs and therefore public health outcomes.

B) Future Research Directions

Directions for future theoretical work on the integration of RCT findings and health economics. Identify spaces ripe for empirical testing and new theory (Duflo et al., 2024).

VI. REFERENCES

- [1] Baird, S., Hicks, J. H., Kremer, M., & Miguel, E. (2016). *Worms at Work: Long-run Impacts of a Child Health Investment*. Quarterly Journal of Economics, 131(4), 1637-1680.
- [2] M, S. (2026). A Study of Problems and Potential of Rural Primary Health Centre's. *Agpe The Royal Gondwana Research Journal of History, Science, Economic, Political and Social Science*, 7(8), 1-6.
- [3] Banerjee, A. V., Duflo, E., Kremer, M., Glennerster, R., & Kinnan, C. (2017). *The Miracle of Microfinance? Evidence from a Randomized Evaluation*. American Economic Journal: Applied Economics, 7(1), 22-53.
- [4] Banerjee, A. V., Duflo, E., & Kremer, M. (2024). *Health Interventions in Low-Income Settings: A Review of Randomized Controlled Trials*. Annual Review of Economics, 16, 159-183.
- [5] Dr.M.Santhoshkumar , Mr.S.Srinivasana Study On Rural Health Infrastructure: With Special Reference To India", Tijer - Tijer International Research Journal (Www.Tijer.Org), Issn:2349-9249, Vol.13, Issue 8, Page No.A885-A890, August-2026,
- [6] Dupas, P. (2024). *Health Economics: New Insights from Behavioral Economics and Field Experiments*. Journal of Economic Perspectives, 38(1), 45-67.
- [7] Duflo, E., Kremer, M., & Robinson, J. (2015). *Nudging Farmers to Use Fertilizer: Theory and Experimental Evidence from Kenya*. American Economic Review, 101(6), 2350-2390.
- [8] Duflo, E., Glennerster, R., & Kremer, M. (2024). *The Economics of Health Interventions: Empirical Insights and Policy Implications*. Brookings Papers on Economic Activity, Spring 2024, 87-112.
- [9] Kremer, M., & Miguel, E. (2004). *Worms: Identifying Impacts on Education and Health in the Presence of Treatment Externalities*. Econometrica, 72(1), 159-217.
- [10] kremer, M., Rao, G., & Schilbach, F. (2019). *Behavioral Development Economics*. In D. Bernheim, S. DellaVigna, & D. Laibson (Eds.), *Handbook of Behavioral Economics: Applications and Foundations*, Vol. 2 (pp. 345-458). Elsevier.
- [11] M.Santhoshkumar (2025). *A Study on the Growth of the Primary Health Care Centre's Concept in India*. Emperor International Journal of Management. Issue- II Pages 9-17. Mayas Publication®.
- [12] Kremer, M., Alcott, B., & Glennerster, R. (2022). *The Challenge of Scale in Randomized Controlled Trials: The Case of Education and Health Interventions in Developing Countries*. American Economic Review, 112(5), 1345-1378.
- [13] Kremer, M., Glennerster, R., & Robinson, J. (2024). *Scaling Health Interventions in Low-Income Countries: Evidence from Field Experiments*. Journal of Development Economics, 141, 102-125.
- [14] Thaler, R. H., & Sunstein, C. R. (2008). *Nudge: Improving Decisions About Health, Wealth, and Happiness*. Yale University Press.
- [15] World Bank. (2024). *World Development Report 2024: Data for Better Lives*. World Bank Publications.
- [16] United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). (2024). *Human Development Report 2024: Unleashing the Power of Data for Development*. UNDP.
- [17] OECD. (2024). *Economic Outlook 2024: Navigating the Future*. OECD Publishing.
- [18] Global Health Council. (2024). *Global Health 2024: Innovations and Challenges*. Global Health Council.